## **SWMBH MI Health Link Claims Review Tool - 2019**

	T			1
	Consumer Name:	Date under review:		
	Dual Entity: MHL	Date under review:		
	Program:			
	Quarter:			+
	Date of Review:			
	Reviewer: Courtney Juarez			
	Verified by:			
	100% Compliance			
	Not in Substantial Compliance - Provider/CMHSP's to submit corrective action plan			
	(Cumulative score < 95%)			
	Not in Substantial Compliance - Provider/Participant CMHSP's to submit corrective action plan,			
	reverse encounter, and/or other additional action per SWMBH (Any noncompliant score of o or			
	Scoring Key: 0 = Noncompliant 1 = Partial Compliance 2 = Full Compliance			
	Scoring Rey: 0 = Noncompilant 1 = Fartial Compilance 2 = Full Compilance			
	Section 1: Claims Adjudication Process	Possible	Actual	Percent
	Section 1. Claims Adjudication Frocess	I USSIDIC	Actual	Fercent
A	There is an executed contract in place with the provider which allows the provider to bill for service under review.	2	2	100%
В	The rendering provider is eligible and appropriately credentialed through SWMBH to deliver the service under review.			100%
C	The consumer was eligible for the service under review.	2 2	2	100%
	If A, B and C are all scored with "2" proceed to Item E. If not proceed to Item F. (Answer either E or F but not	2	2	100%
D	both)			
Е	Service under review was paid with MI Health Link funds.	2	2	100%
F	Appropriate denial for service exists for service date under review.			
G	A valid authorization is present in the Streamline system for the date of service under review and is consistent			
	with the date the consumer was enrolled in MI Health Link.	2	2	100%
Н				
-	The authorized code, including modifier as required, for the service under review was submitted for payment.	2	2	100%
I	If no modifier was used, was the claim paid using the correct rate?  Date of Claim submission:			
	Comments (Required for any score of 1 or 0):			
	Section Total	12	12	100%
	Section 19th	12	12	100/0
	Section 2: Treatment Plan/Person-Centered Planning	Possible	Actual	Percent
A	There is a treatment plan in the record effective for the date of service under review.	2	2	100%
В	The treatment plan reviews above identifies the service under review and includes the following:			200.0
С	A goal related to the service under review	2	2	100%
D	An objective related to the service under review	2	2	100%
	Date of Treatment Plan:			
	Comments (Required for any score of 1 or 0):			
	Section total	6	6	100%
	Section 3: Progress Notes	Possible	Actual	Percent
A	The record contains documentation to support that service was provided to the consumer.	2	2	100%
В	The intervention provided is related to a goal or objective.	2	2	100%
	For "incident to" services, there is documentation to support that the supervising individual had			
С	ongoing involvement with the consumer's care.  The rendering provider or another qualified provider completed the initial assessment.			
	A schedule of the rendering clinician was provided to verify incident to qualifications.			
D				
	Direct supervision in the office setting does not mean that the physician must be present in the			
	same room with his or her aide. However, the physician must be present in the office suite and			
	immediately available to provide assistance and direction throughout the time the aide is performing services. https://www.cms.gov/Regulations-and-			
	Guidance/Guidance/Manuals/downloads/bp102c15.pdf			
	Date of Progress Note(s) reviewed:			
	Comments (Required for any score of 1 or 0):			
	Section total	4	4	100%
		т		
	100%	22	22	